

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL
CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA**

LANCELOT U. ARMSTRONG, PRO SE
Appellant/Petitioner

Vs

Case No. 07-30304 (05)
Hon. Judge Richard D. Eade

BROWARD COUNTY SHERIFF, et al.,
Appellee/Respondents

**RE: SC07-1717, LOWER TRIBUNAL CASE NO. 90-13414-CF-10B, AND
CASE NO. 90-5417-CF-10B**

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

COMES NOW Petitioner, Lancelot Uriley Armstrong, acting in pro-se form, and moves this Honorable Court to hold an hearing regards sanctioning the adverse parties for there continuous infringement which allowed creative retaliative program to hindering meaningful access to the courts. The Petitioner stated as follow in support of this writ of mandamus to sanction all violators.

- 1.) Case no. 05-018672 (11), civil action, against Broward Sheriff's Office, etc. while Petitioner proceed on litigation. There was willful obstruction of justice.
- 2.) Case no. 90-13414CF-10B, while proceeded on said litigation there was Broward County Sheriff and their employees creative interference with litigant pro se cases and intimidating Petitioner

private legal aid and his private investigators and witnesses to block the prosecution on their infringement.

- 3.) While Petitioner was litigating pro se appeal, habeas corpus case no. 4D07-2677 and 4D07-03246, lower tribunal case no. 90-13414-CF-10B, which this 17th Judicial Circuit Court deemed Petitioner indigent for costs per appeal only.
- 4.) The Broward County Sheriff Ken Jenne, and the Sheriff's employees such as assistance counsel Terence O. Lynch, and their main jail officials bias scheme cause abrogation of constitutional laws and their legal obligation which owed to the Petitioner.
- 5.) Sheriff, and the State Attorney Michael J. Satz, which allowed continuous violations of court orders and special approval through Petitioner grievances the court on case no. 90-5417CF-10B, directed other forced compelling compliance to free legal telephone calls and to entry of the law library.
- 6.) Which causation of continuous retaliation hindered said legal access to prepare and filing meaningful documents in courts (the adverse parties actions in contrary to 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242) to illegally retaliation under "color of law".

- 6.) Petitioner life sentences is illegal and prejudice at best causation of creative evidences, bias witnesses, and illegal criminal records was used to secure said life imprisonment on case no. 90-13414-CF-10b, and on case no. 90-5417-CF-10B.
- 7.) The above life sentences cases do not represented by any counsels present~~ly~~, nor this Honorable Court did not hold any hearing for appointment of counsel.
- 8.) This means with all due respect, this Court made erroneous ruling on Petitioner pro se litigation concerns his life sentences cases.
- 9.) The adverse parties retaliative program, and obstruction which infringement causation of prejudicial errors resulted block Petitioner sought relief.
- 10.) Petitioner fired conflict counsels of record on the first degree murder case no. 90-5417-CF-10B which this Honorable Court denied Petitioner pro se motion to discharge ineffective assistance of counsels.
- 11.) This court did not state whether those conflict counsels of record in case no. 90-5417-CF-10B, was then appointed by this court. Fact that many problems came up, Petitioner only stated enough on the record to fire said private attorney David P. Rowe, and

attorney Donovan L. Parker, from further handling any legality issues concerns the Petitioner.

- 12.) The state objected to Petitioner pro se motions to dismissing ineffective assistance of counsels off the record in case no. 90-5417-CF-10B, which further cause this Honorable Court to go back on his ruling. Fact that former state appointed counsel legality issues may have cause some prejudice to the Petitioner cases at bar.
- 13.) Resulted of newly private retained counsels of record. Fact that there is an civil action against attorney David P. Rowe, and attorney Donovan L. Parker, further cause a conflict of interest.
- 14.) The adverse parties do not have any legal say as to who Petitioner choose to represent his case, as long as the attorneys is competent and respect the Petitioner sought legal decision.
- 15.) The state aid within infringement of Petitioner legal right to access the courts, which material evidence and witness which supported Petitioner complaints.
- 16.) Material fact; the state was the adverse party, which should not allowed to dictated on any matters related to Petitioner private representation. "In case there was an special appointed counsels,

the state has all right to object to Petitioner pro se motion to dismiss conflict counsels.

- 17.) Fact that Petitioner proceeded to dismissing ineffective assistance of counsel was a bona fide, and sought decision to preserving meaningful issues for appellate courts.
- 18.) David P. Rowe, esquire, abrogated his duty where he do not honor Petitioner's sought decision resulted Petitioner bringing the issues to this court attention cause each time conflict counsels actions contrary to Petitioner legal decision which allowed the adverse parties to state that Petitioner waived his rights.
- 19.) This is serious enough, Petitioner life on the line, there is no bad faith here trying to delay justice, cause everything wrong being done in the Petitioner name not within the counsels name. Fact that counsel of record did not ever listen to the court, there is too many errors happening within the Petitioner's name.
- 20.) Petitioner cannot speak through counsels, cause they do not listen, and to many lies which happened to compromise Petitioner resentencing trial based on said experience the Petitioner respectfully proceeds to prevent attorney David P. Rowe, from compromising his appeal.

- 21.) Fact that this resentencing penalty was and is appellate area; counsel of record tried to advise Petitioner on the contrary and failure to listen to the Petitioner sought legal decision to preserve critical issues on the record for appeal reason counsels of record refused to comply cause the Petitioner to re-^{submit}~~submit~~ petitions to dismiss counsels for ineffectiveness which this Court deny several times, and further thought the Petitioner shows bad faith to waited on proceeds at this stage to ^{delay}~~deny~~ the court ruling.
- 22.) Petitioner had too much respect for this Honorable Court to create any scheme which may obstruct the court's administrative duty.
- 23.) The fact that Broward state Attorney Michael J. Satz, gross negligence or willful assault within the courtroom, while the Petitioner was on the witness stand before the jury, causation of illness and medical treatment which Petitioner struggled from said ^{incident}~~accident~~ with eye problem while reading presently
- 24.) The adverse party, or the court made an prejudicial error by stated within the order of December 4, 2007, that Petitioner alleged that his counsel in case no. 90-5417-CF-10B had advised him to bring these concerns to this Court's attention. On the

contrary, Petitioner did not state such. Petitioner was the individual who strongly requested counsels of record to bringing the problem to the court's attention from the same day of the incident and the following day while the court proceed on.

25.) Attorney David P. Rowe, and attorney Donovan L. Parker, failure to follow up on Petitioner's decision until the situation seems to got worst, and now the court assumed that is not an genuine concern at hand. The medical record shall support the petitioner's allegation cause it is material fact.

26.) Broward Sheriff's main jail medical staff did refer to a medical specialist, which the sheriff, and their main jail security failure to allow said access.

27.) Fact that there is a system within the system which causation of bias and do not respect the rules of laws which allowed continuous violation of court orders and otherwise.

28.) Fact; the 8th floor is administrative confinement area, which cause many staffs and deputies to treat everyone on that floor as if they disciplinary problem.

29.) Fact that Petitioner requested counsels to redressing said matters before this court, which Broward Sheriff's counsel Terence

O.Lynch, did not prepare to handle cause this Court to set an hearing which Defendant's counsels of record failure to be present in court to representing Petitioner best interest resulted this Honorable Court set off said hearing for a later date in the near future. which the face of the record shall support Petitioner genuineness.

30.) Based on this Court order which reflected on Petitioner untimely allegation material evidence shall shows that there was judicial errors, cause from the adverse parties infringement which allowed additional suffering and injustice.

31.) Since June 4, 2004, the infringement hindering the Petitioner meaningful relief, such continuous obstruction of justice from the adverse parties resulted this court issues of order to transport back to prison which did not cure the criminal misconduct by the adverse parties.

32.) December 5, 2007, the Broward County Sheriff's deputies did not allow Petitioner to get his legal books, and often leave the Petitioner at the prison, the Petitioner did not receive all his personal property needed to litigate pending pro se case.

33.) This court stated any alleged residual medical claims the Defendant had should be raised with the Department of Corrections.

34.) There was no court order directed to the Department of Corrections for adequate medical care and treatment which would allow genuine care and treatment without ~~may~~^{ANY} form of hesitation.

Wherefore, the Petitioner Lancelot U. Armstrong acting in pro se form and respectfully moves this court to reconsider his ruling on the issue of "motion for adequate medical assistance and to order testing of gun case (sic) and to allow independent medical check-up and treatment by setting an hearing and order defense counsels to present under oath concerns Petitioner did speak through counsel's of record concern above cause, fact that counsels did not comply with petitioner legal decision should not impair the Petitioner's legal legality issues.

Further the Petitioner seek an urgency inquire concerns the state attorney Michael J. Satz assault of Petitioner, and his assisting the Broward Sheriff's attorney Terence O. Lynch, continuous infringement and retaliative program cause the Petitioner had an pending civil action against said violators in good faith, this court may allow a special prosecutor to investigate Petitioner genuine allegation on this 28th day of December, 2007, under the penalty of perjury, I declare that the facts stated here in are true and correct.

Under the penalty of perjury I, Lancelot U. Armstrong, on this
28th day of December, 2007, declare that I have read the
foregoing Petition for writ of mandamus and that each and all of these facts
and matters are true and correct.

Lancelot Armstrong,

Lancelot U. Armstrong, pro se
#693504
Union Correctional Institution
7819 N.W. 228th Street
Raiford, Florida 32026-4410